1		$\mathbf{O}$
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DOUGLAS PIPER,	) CASE NO. SA CV 06-00845 CAS (RZ)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
13	VS.	) RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED ) STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, ) ET AL., )	
15 16	Defendants.	
The Court has reviewed the file in this matter, and has read and reviewed the		
18		
19	engaged in a <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the Report to which Plaintiff has objected.	
20	The Court	
21	(1) ACCEPTS the Repor	t and Recommendation;
22	(2) DISMISSES the action against the Doe defendants;	
23	(3) GRANTS summary ju	adgment in favor of Defendants for
24	federal Claims 1-2;	
25	(4) DECLINES suppleme	ental jurisdiction on state law Claims 3-10;
26	(5) DENIES Plaintiff's m	notion to strike; and
27	///	
28	///	

(5) Orders that Judgment be entered DISMISSING this action (a) with prejudice as to Plaintiff's federal Claims 1-2 but (b) without prejudice to Plaintiff's state law Claims 3-10. DATED: February 4, 2009 Rhristine a. Smyde UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE